

From: [Glenn Berry](#)
To: [Norfolk Boreas](#)
Subject: Fwd: submission from Happisburgh Parish Council 20012507
Date: 25 November 2019 17:59:25

Please accept this as a submission to Boreas from Happisburgh PC
Yours faithfully
Glenn Berry Chairman Happisburgh PC

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Glenn Berry <glenn@hallfarmforage.co.uk>
Date: 15 February 2019 at 19:13:40 GMT
To: NorfolkVanguard@pins.gsi.gov.uk
Subject: Fwd: submission from Happisburgh Parish Council 20012507

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Glenn Berry" <glenn@hallfarmforage.co.uk>
Date: 14 February 2019 at 20:09:26 GMT
To: <norfolkvanguard@pins.gsi.gov.uk>
Cc: <glenn@hallfarmforage.co.uk>
Subject: submission from Happisburgh Parish Council
20012507

With regard to fairness of the planning process.

The outcome of this planning process is heavily stacked against the community's that could be effected by this proposed project as after all it is only at this stage a proposed project until the DCO is given.

community's are faced with taking on a very large company with high paid lawyers and consultants community's have no funding all costs and time have to be self funded.

if you are a landowner Vattenfall pays for a land agent and pays for the landowners time spent on the project.

We as a village where landfall is proposed asked Vattenfall if we could be treated the same way as landowners to obtain professional advise to understand the effects on the village this project may have but we were told a blank NO we did not qualify. Happisburgh has a precept of £4000 a year I would ask you in fairness how much time in the meeting on 5th February would

that £4000 supported the team fielded by Vattenfall that day. It also came to light in that meeting Vattenfall a company for profit needs a grant after the DCO to fund the project please where is the fairness in this to community's .

With regards to 30.3 of Errata 9.4

The increase to medium for deterioration of bathing waters is of concern to the village as no timing has been given to the length of time or season that will be effected and is this from the HDD drilling or the laying of the offshore cables or both.

Glenn Berry Chairman Happisburgh PC 20012507

From: [Glenn Berry](#)
To: [Norfolk Boreas](#)
Subject: Fw: submission from Happisburgh PC 20012507
Date: 25 November 2019 18:06:29

Please accept this into Boreas as a submission from Happisburgh PC

Yours faithfully

Glenn Berry Chairman Happisburgh PC

From: [Glenn Berry](#)
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2019 8:34 PM
To: NorfolkVanguard@pins.gsi.gov.uk
Subject: Fw: submission from Happisburgh PC 20012507

Sorry first email sent with wrong interested party reference number please except this as the corrected submission from Happisburgh PC

Glenn Berry Chairman Happisburgh PC

From: [Glenn Berry](#)
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2019 8:08 PM
To: NorfolkVanguard@pins.gsi.gov.uk
Subject: submission from Happisburgh PC 20012507

Dear Planning Inspectorate

Please could we ask with respect that this project or the landfall part is put on hold do to the facts

It will be at leased 2 years later starting due to missing the bidding round.

A offshore ring main is being discussed at Ministerial level.

A offshore ring main is being looked into by The National Grid.

If a AC project can change to a DC project in a year and given the numbers of wind farms to come, in that 2 to 3 year hold up a off shore ring main could be in place saving North Norfolk the upheaval of these wind farm land fall sites and cabling across the county a be a major environmental improvement .

Yours faithfully

Glenn Berry Chairman Happisburgh PC

GLENN BERRY Chair of Happisburgh Parish Council

LARKSFIELD. HALL FARM ~ GRUB STREET, HAPPISBURGH ~ NORFOLK, NR12 ORQ
Phone / Fax 01692 650321 - E mail glenn@hallfarmforage.co.uk

24th March 2019

To The Planning Inspectorate Norfolk Vanguard

I hope this may help to judge distances at Happisburgh Land Fall site.


This weekend I measured the distance with a measuring wheel from the Cliff edge to the Lighthouse 165mtrs and back from the Lighthouse to the cliff edge 164.5 mtrs.

I am happy to put this before you as a fair and honest.

I will have the wheel with me on Monday should anyone wish to check these distances.

Yours faithfully

Glenn Berry Chairman Happisburgh PC




NOTE
This drawing must not be reissued, loaned or copied without the written consent of NPS Property Consultants Ltd. (the originator). All errors, omissions, discrepancies should be reported to the originator immediately.
All dimensions to be checked before site fabrication by the contractor, his sub-contractor or supplier.
Do not scale plans - use figure or grid dimensions where given. Any deviation from the drawing to be reported to the originator immediately.

© Crown Copyright and database rights 2017 Ordnance Survey 100019340

Legend


- OS Clifftop 2000
- December 2004
- December 2011
- August 2012
- November 2012
- March 2013
- June 2013
- November 2013
- August 2014
- June 2015
- January 2016
- March 2018
- August 2018
- December 2018

Notes:
All levels to Newlyn Datum (using OSGM15 transformation).




Rev	Date	Description

Drawing No.: VES 1645	Rev:
Project Name: Happisburgh Cliff Monitoring	
Drawing Title: December 2018	
Project Number: 01-02-18-2-1452	
Client: NNDC	
Surveyed by: CHF	
Drawn by: CHF	Checked by:
Date: Jan - 18	Scale: 1:1250 @ A1



From: [Glenn Berry](#)
To: [Sarah Stewart](#)
Subject: Visit to Happisburgh
Date: 25 November 2015 10:31:31

Dear Planning Inspectorate
Re: your visit to Happisburgh on 1st Oct, you stated School Common Rd. this is incorrect and misleading you were on Whimpwell St. School Common Rd. is on the other side of the coast rd. going away from the village as marked on map.
Yours Faithfully
Glenn Berry Chairman Happisburgh PC



Scot from my iPad